UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2.0

Following the telephone conference on December 5, 2005, at which all sides were represented by counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. I find no need for further briefing on the issue of whether 3Com was precluded from including in its amended preliminary contentions certain Realtek chip models which were not in its original contentions. The parties agreed and requested that I rule on the letter briefs.
- 2. Realtek's motion is **DENIED**. As I understand it,
 Realtek agreed to allow 3Com to amend its preliminary
 infringement contentions when new counsel substituted in for
 3Com and that no conditions were attached to 3Com's ability to

Case 3:03-cv-02177-VRW Document 286 Filed 12/06/05 Page 2 of 2

1	amend. Under those circumstances, I conclude that 3Com's
2	provision of a list of accused chip models of which it was
3	aware on the date it filed its amended preliminary
4	infringement contentions is consistent with Patent Local Rule
5	3-1(b). Nor does it appear that inclusion of the additional
6	chip models will adversely impact the trial schedule or unduly
7	expand the scope of trial.
8	Dated: December 5, 2005
9	Demand Jimmeman
10	Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge
11	G:/BZALL/-REFS/3COM/DISC6.ORDER.WPD
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	